

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,673	02/13/2002	J. Guy Breitenbucher	ORT-1590	2680
<sup>27777</sup> PHILIP S. JOH	7590 02/09/200 INSON	EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & J	OHNSON N & JOHNSON PLAZ	WANG, SHENGJUN		
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)  BREITENBUCHER ET AL.			
		10/0	75,673	BREITENBUCHE				
		Exan	niner	Art Unit				
	•		ngjun Wang	1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE O 7 CFR 1.136(a). In ation. ry period will apply by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	,			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 06 Novemb	per 2006					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
·		in the applica	tion					
7/23	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 8-12</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5\[	· ·							
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or electi	ion roquiroment					
		· and/or electi	ion requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Ex							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		•	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is re	equired if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examine	r. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action fo	· ·	` ''	ot received.				
			,	•				
-								
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)				·			
	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:								

Art Unit: 1617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 6, 2006 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating Asthma with the compound recited in claim 6 (compound 7), or its' structurally closely related compound, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating all allergic conditions by compound 7, or for treating of any allergic conditions by compounds encompassed within the general formula, but structurally distinct from

Art Unit: 1617

compound 7, for examples, compounds, wherein x, y, and/or z is not carbon, R1-R6, is not hydrogen, lower alkyl groups, Ar is other than phenyl group. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The instant specification fails to provide information that would allow the skilled artisan to practice the instant invention without undue experimentation. Attention is directed to In re Wands, 8 USPQ 2d 1400 (CAFC 1988) at 1404 where the court set forth the eight factor to consider when assessing if a disclosure would have required undue experimentation. The court recited eight factors:

- 1) the quantity of experimentation necessary,
- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided,
- 3) the presence of absence of working examples,
- 4) the nature of the invention,
- 5) the state of the prior art,
- 6) the relative skill of those in the art,
- 7) the predictability of the art, and
- 8) the breadth of the claims.

The claims are broadly cover method of treating any allergic conditions with compounds defined by the general formula in claim 1, which essentially encompasses unlimited number of compounds with various structurally distinct features. The specification discloses particular only compound 7 has cathespin S inhibition activity (pages 102-103, other examples listed in page 103 is not within the scope of the claimed invention). The specification provide no further in

Art Unit: 1617

vitro or in vivo data for supporting the claimed invention, nor further guidance as to the structure-activity relationship of the compounds herein and the inhibition of cathespin S. The prior art merely show that the inhibitors of cathepsin S is effective in an asthma model, but lacks further teaching as to other allergic conditions page 3, the third paragraph in the specification). It is noted that the pharmaceutical art generally is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. The court in In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 839; 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970) held that, "in case involving unpredictable factors, such as most chemical reactions and physiological activity, the scope of enablement obviously varies inversely with the degree of unpredictability of the factors involved." The more unpredictable an area, the more specific enablement is need in order to satisfy the statue. Further, various structural distinct compounds herein deemed to present unpredictability as to their physiological properties because of lack any guidance as to the structure-activity relationship for cathespin inhibitors. The single example herein lacks representative power for the full scope of the compounds herein. Therefore, one of skilled artisan would have to perform undue experimentations to explore if compound 7 is indeed be useful for any other allergic conditions, and to find out if any other compounds within the claimed scope would be effective cathespin inhibitors for treatment of allergic condition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,673

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617

SHENGJUN WANG PAIMARY EXAMINED